## CALCULATION OF DIPOLE MOMENTS OF HETEROATOM MOLECULES

Lemont B. Kier

The Ohio State University, College of Pharmacy

#### Columbus, Ohio

## (Received 19 July 1965)

The calculation of the dipole moments of heteroatom-containing molecules has not met with frequent success. In particular, the estimation of the  $\sigma$ bond contribution to the total dipole moment has been difficult. This has been commonly treated by using standard bond moments and summing them vectorially (1). As a first approximation the  $\sigma$  and  $\pi$  moments are regarded as being independent, hence a calculated total dipole moment is a vectorial sum of the two (2).

We have calculated the  $\mu_{\sigma}$  and  $\mu_{\pi}$  using semi-empirical molecular orbital methods and have obtained calculated dipole moments in good agreement with experimental values. The  $\mu_{\pi}$  were calculated from charge densities obtained by the use of the Huckel LCAO-MO  $\omega$ -Technique. The heteroatom parameters used were those of Streitwieser (3), with only two modifications. We found it necessary to employ a slightly higher h value for the pyridine nitrogen which gave a better dipole moment agreement. For the same reason we employed a slightly higher value for the h of chlorine. The values used are listed in Table I.

327**3** 

N 0.8 1.   N 1.7 0.   O 1.3 0.   O 2.7 0.   C1 2.8 0.	Values of h and	k Parameters	Used
o 1.3 o.   o 2.7 o.   C1 2.8 o.			k <sub>cx</sub> 1.0
ö 2.7 0.   C1 2.8 0.	Ň	1.7	0.7
Cl 2.8 0.	ō	1.3	0.8
	ö	2.7	0.6
СН <sub>3</sub> 3.0 0.	Cl	2.8	0.5
	СНз	3.0	0.7

TABLE I

The  $\mu_{\sigma}$  values were calculated from the charge densities of the  $\sigma$  skeleton, atoms using the method of Del Re (4). The procedure is an adaptation of the LCAO-MO method, treating all  $\sigma$  bonds as localized orbitals,  $\Psi_{AB} = C_A \chi_A + C_B \chi_B$ to which an inductive effect is introduced from neighboring atoms. Appropriate values of the Coulomb and resonance integrals are written in terms of a standard  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$ . The secular equations in matrix form are then

1

$$\begin{vmatrix} \alpha + \delta_{A}\beta - E & \epsilon_{AB}\beta \\ \epsilon_{AB}\beta & \alpha + \delta_{B}\beta - E \end{vmatrix} = 0$$

ı

The resonance integral modifying parameter  $\epsilon_{AB}$  is assumed to be independent of surroundings, while the Coulomb integral modifying terms  $\delta_A$  and  $\delta_B$  are assumed to be influenced by atoms bound directly to atoms A or B. This influence is accounted for by the use of an auxiliary inductive parameter  $\gamma_{AB}$ , which is characteristic of the inductive effect of all neighboring atoms, 3. The corrected expression for  $\delta_A$  becomes

$$\delta_{A} = \delta_{A}^{\circ} + \sum_{B \text{ adj to } A} \gamma_{A(B)} \delta_{B}$$

The charge density on atom A due to neighboring atom B is then calculated from the corrected  $\delta_A$  and  $\delta_B$  values and the  $\epsilon_{AB}$  parameter

$$q_{A}^{(B)} = \frac{\frac{\delta_{B} - \delta_{A}}{2 \epsilon_{AB}}}{\sqrt{1 + \left(\frac{\delta_{B} - \delta_{A}}{2 \epsilon_{AB}}\right)^{2}}}$$

The total charge density on atom A then becomes

$$Q_A = \sum_{all, B} q_A^{(B)}$$

The values of the parameters  $\delta^{0}$ ,  $\gamma_{AB}$  and  $\epsilon_{AB}$  were usrived by Del Re from dipole moment values and electronegativities (4). They were used in our calculations and are listed in Table II.

### TABLE II

Values of Parameters Used in the  $\sigma$  Bond Treatment

			<u>C(N)</u>				
$\epsilon_{AB}$	1.00	1.00	1.00	0.95	0.45	0.45	0.65
<sup>у</sup> а(в)	0.3	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.3	0.3	0.2
<sup>γ</sup> b(A)	0.4	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.4	0.4	0.4
δA	0.07	0.07	0.07	0.07	0.24	0.40	0.07
δ <sub>B</sub>	0.00	0.07	0.24	0.40	0.00	0.00	0.35

The charge densities and calculated and experimental dipole moments are listed in Table III and refer to the structures and atom positions drawn in Figure 1. The total dipole moment,  $\mu_{\rm T}$  was obtained by vectorial addition of  $\mu_{\sigma}$  and  $\mu_{\pi}$ . The agreement in all cases was about 95% of the experimental value.

## TABLE III

## Charge Densities and Dipole Moments

Compound	Atom	Charge I 9 <sub>0</sub>	Densities $q_{\pi}$	$\frac{\text{Calculated }\mu}{\mu_{\sigma}  \mu_{\pi}  \mu_{T}}$		Experimental $\mu$ $\mu$ Ref.		
Formaldehyde	1	134	288	0.50			2.17	(5)
rormandenyde				0.90	1.02	2.12	2.11	(5)
	2	+.046	+.288					
	3	+.044						
Furan	1	310	+.080	1.46	0.71	0.75	0.72	(6)
	2	+.100						
	3	+.038	009					
	4	011						
	5	+.028	032					
Pyridine	1	142	182	0.74	1.21	1.95	2.15	(7)
	2	+.027	+.057					
	3	+.036						
	4	025	+.015					
	5	+.032						
	6	- 029	+.039					
	7	+.031						
Pyrrole	1	+.197		0.08	1.52	1.44	1.54	(8)
	2	371	+.169					
	3	+.039	026					
	4	+.038						
	5	022	059					
	6	+.032						

		Charge	Densities	Calculated µ			Experimental $\mu$	
Compound	Atom	q <sub>σ</sub>	q <sub>π</sub>	<sup>μ</sup> σ	μπ	μτ	μ	Ref.
Toluene	l	+.038						
	2	125	+.026	0.05	0.46	0.41	0.39	(9)
	3	+.011	+.016					
	4	031	014					
	5	+.031						
	6.	031	-,002					
	7	+.031						
	8	+.031	010					
	9	+.031						
Chlorobenzene	ı	185	+.015	2.02	0.28	1.74	1.58	(10)
	2	+.119	+.008					
	3	008	007					
	4	+.033						
	5	031	001					
	6	+.042						
	7	036	006					
	8	+.030						

TABLE III (Continued)

		Charge 1	Densities	Calculated µ			Experimental µ	
Compound	Atom	٩ <sub>σ.</sub>	q <sub>π</sub>	μσ	$\mu_{\pi}$	μτ	μ	Ref.
Phenol	1	+.299		1.80	0.39	1.58	1.60	(11)
	2	471	+.022					
	3	+,140	+.012					
	4	018	011					
	5	+.032						
	6	029	002					
	7	+.031						
	8	031	009					
	9	+.031						
Aniline	i	+.216		1.32	0.73	1.54	1.53	(12)
•	2	535	+.052					
	3	+.085	+.017					
	4	024	021					
	5	+.032						
	6	032	004					
	7	+.031						
	8	031	017					
	9	+.031				_		

TABLE III (Continued)

## FIGURE 1

# Structures Calculated with Numbering



Formaldehyde



Pyridine



Toluene



Phenol





Pyrrole



Chlorobenzene



Aniline

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

The research was supported in part by National Institutes of Health Grant No. GM-13100-01.

#### REFERENCES

- G. W. Wheland, <u>Resonance in Organic Chemistry</u>, J. Wiley and Sons, New York, (1955) p. 209.
- (2) R. Daudel, R. Lefebvre and C. Moser, <u>Quantum Chemistry</u>, Interscience Publ., New York, (1959) p. 201.
- (3) A. Streitwieser, Jr., J. Amer. Chem. Soc. 82, 4123 (1960).
- (4) G. Del Re, J. Chem. Soc. (1958) 4031.
- (5) J. K. Bragg and A. H. Sharbaugh, Phys. Rev. 75, 1774 (1949).
- (6) C. G. Lefevre, R. J. W. Lefevre and G. M. Parkins, <u>J. Chem. Soc</u>. (1960) 1814.
- (7) B. B. De More, W. S. Wilcox and J. H. Goldstein, <u>J. Chem. Phys. 22</u>, 876 (1954).
- (8) L. Jannelli and P. G. Orsini, Gazz Chim. Ital. 89, 1467 (1959).
- (9) W. Schaafs, Z. Physik Chem. 196, 413 (1951).
- (10) T. Hanai, N. Koizumi and R. Gotoh, <u>Bull. Inst. Chem. Res</u>. Kyoto Univ., <u>39</u>, 195 (1961).
- (11) E. V. Goode and D. A. Ibbitson, J. Chem. Soc. (1960) 4265.
- (12) A. Vyas and H. N. Srivastava, <u>J. Sci. Ind. Res</u>. (India) <u>17B</u>, 377 (1958).